

Corrigendum

**RFP Notice No. [MEPMA-SEP/EDP/1/2019](#) for Engagement of agency for
“Establishment of Enterprises through skill development for Urban Poor and
their incubation in all Urban Local Bodies in Telangana State”**

Response to Pre-Bid Queries

Note: The following responses were given to the queries raised by Agencies through email as suggested by officials of MEPMA during the pre-bid meeting held on 16th September 2019 at CDMA Office. These responses are applicable to the agencies those who have participated in the Pre-Bid Meeting and to those who are willing to participate, but could not attend the Pre-Bid Meeting.

S. No.	Name of the Organization	Query raised	MEPMA Responses
1	EDII	<p>1. While the brief selection process under point 1.6 mentions – “Proposals will finally be ranked according to their combined technical and financial scores as specified in Clause 5 (5.1, 5.2, and 5.3). The final evaluation point 5.3.4 says that L1 amongst the qualified technical bidder shall be awarded cluster. We would request you to consider a QCBS system for evaluation using combined technical and financial evaluation scores</p>	<p>The Bidder shall be selected based on Combined Quality cum Cost Based System (QCBS), whereby Technical proposal will be allotted weightage of 70% for Private Agency and 60% to all State and Central Government Agencies and Financial proposal will be allotted weightage of 30% for Private Agency and 40% all State and Central Government Agencies. Any Technical bid with score less than 70 marks will be rejected and their financial bids will not be opened for all Private Agencies and Any Technical bid with score less than 60 marks will be rejected and their financial bids will not be opened for all State and Central Government Agencies.</p>
		<p>2. We would like to seek the costs to be considered while arriving</p>	<p>Adhere to the RFP norms</p>

S. No.	Name of the Organization	Query raised	MEPMA Responses
		at the 'unit cost of enterprise' mentioned on page 8	
		<p>3. Under the format for Technical Evaluation pg. 13-point 1.2 - "Experience in the areas of setting up/ facilitating sustainability of enterprises during last 5 Financial Years", the RFP asks for Self-declaration report by the entrepreneur to be attached (Format 9). In our opinion getting so many individual entrepreneurs to provide self-declaration shall be a tedious task in large numbers and we would request you to ask for a declaration from Bidder itself</p>	<p>Revised Format no. 9 Update along with this Corrigendum. Experience in the areas of setting up/ facilitating sustainability of enterprises during last 5 Financial Years More than 200 enterprises/microenterprises setup - 10 marks and prorated 2.5 mark for every 100 enterprises setup up to a maximum of 600 enterprises Organizations must provide relevant proofs against the successfully established entities along the duly filled Format 9</p>
		<p>4. Further, given the details to be filled in under format 6 and 9, we would request you to consider as extension in last date of submission by at least 2 weeks to enable us to submit a comprehensive and quality response to RFP.</p>	<p>Last Date for the response to RFP has been revised. New date for submission for the response to RFP is 30th October 2019 before 4.00 PM.</p>
		<p>5. For the schedule of payment, we have the following observations: Under 2nd Milestone the release is mentioned as - "10% of the total cost for establishing the unit – on submitting the readiness plan with deliverables along with ULB wise identified list of entrepreneurs and potential businesses". We request you to keep it as the release condition for milestone 1 - "10% of the total cost on submitting the final report." For the 3rd milestone the deliverable mentions - "Grounding the enterprise with</p>	<p>Schedule of Payment and timelines against the deliverables have been revised. Kindly note the following revised Schedule of Payment and timelines against the deliverables 1st Milestone Survey and report on the existing businesses supported under bank linkages – Revised Timeline is T+1.5 months instead of T+1 15% of the total cost on</p>

S. No.	Name of the Organization	Query raised	MEPMA Responses
		<p>sustainability report. Successful establishment of enterprises with relevant Proofs". We request to define sustainability report and/or just keep Successful establishment is the condition to meet milestone. Further, our interpretation is that 40% of unit cost of establishing enterprise shall be paid for each enterprise established for the quarter, kindly confirm</p> <p>We request you to clarify on how the remaining 40% of the work order shall be paid and expectations from handholding support. It should also have allowance for a certain proportion of enterprises to fail post establishment.</p> <p>In page 13 it is mentioned under evaluation criteria under required forms, format 9 is mentioned which is not present.</p> <p>In format 6 of page 25 it is mentioned to provide list of enterprises in the following format with all particulars which is exhaustive to do.</p>	<p>submitting the final report instead of 10 %</p> <p>2nd Milestone Plan of execution, inception report, mapping of new potential businesses, readiness to establish the units, monitoring, bank linkages, mentoring etc for the span of a year – Revised Timeline is T+3 instead of T+2 15% of the total cost for establishing the unit – on submitting the readiness plan with deliverables along with ULB wise identified list of entrepreneurs and potential businesses instead of 10%</p> <p>3rd Milestone No Change in Timelines and payment Structure</p> <p>4th Milestone Payment for handholding support shall be released based on the criteria and indicators developed and assessed by the MEPMA relevant to the businesses promoted @ 15% of unit cost 1st year of handholding and 15% of unit cost in the 2nd year of handholding Instead of 20 % for first year and 20% for the 2nd year</p> <p>Parameters to measure Sustainable model will be derived after the selected agency submits their 2nd Milestone deliverable</p>

S. No.	Name of the Organization	Query raised	MEPMA Responses
			related to the cluster allocated to them and trades Kindly refer to Clarification issued to point no. 3
2	WEAIM	6. Request for relaxation for submission date of proposal	Kindly refer to Clarification issued to point no. 4
		7. Page no 13 - There need to be practical numbers so that there could be healthy competition and people may come for bidding	Kindly refer to Clarification issued to point no. 3
		8. First 3 months is completely for documentation process and money is required so there need to be consideration	Kindly refer to Clarification issued to point no. 5
		9. Enterprises with proof is tricky	Adhere to the RFP norms
		10. Release of funds for enterprise to be established from MEPMA side directly proportionate to the organisation performance	Adhere to the RFP norms
		11. Deliverables and amount against it	Kindly refer to Clarification issued to point no. 5
		12. There is no mention of percentage of 60% as discussed with us for completing certain tasks	Kindly refer to Clarification issued to point no. 5
		13. After due diligence only, the organisations are partnered then understanding their challenges in execution is important as everything revolves around timely funding	Adhere to the RFP norms
		14. Disclaimer often reminds that the project may stop at any point of time and there is penalty but in this vertical specially challenges like resources, time, mobilisation, trainings etc do incur cost and if there is penalty and closure of project abruptly may impact the organisation who opted to work on	Adhere to the RFP norms

S. No.	Name of the Organization	Query raised	MEPMA Responses
		<p>15.Case studies should be given some percentage which is not there</p>	<p>Adhere to the RFP norms</p>
		<p>16.Sustenance of enterprise mainly depends on the funding and follow-up? for bidder there need to some relaxation to ensure timely deliverables.</p>	<p>Kindly refer to Clarification issued to point no. 5</p>
		<p>17.Guarantee amount is huge as every cluster has good number of enterprises. Only after all the due diligence the organisation is considered then why bank guarantee is required?</p>	<p>Bid Security shall be submitted @5% of contract value submitted at the time of entering into agreement by the successful bidder either through DD or Bank guarantee. (Bank Guarantee format will be shared with the short-listed bidder or agency after the financial bid opening)instead of 10%</p>
		<p>18.Start-ups may be considered which has good hold on enterprise promotion and handholding of enterprises.</p>	<p>Adhere to the RFP norms</p>
		<p>19.Provision for state coordination team apart from field coordination team</p>	<p>Organization should have Central Team in Place to participate in the bid. On the basis of the experience of the Central Team marks will be allocated against CVs submitted by the bidder. The lists of experts to be deployed from the central team are as follows.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Enterprise Development Expert 2. Value Chain Expert 3. Marketing Expert 4. Monitoring and evaluation Expert 5. Skill Development Expert 6. MIS Expert <p>All the above specified experts should be post</p>

S. No.	Name of the Organization	Query raised	MEPMA Responses
			graduates with minimum 5 years of relevant exp.
		20. criteria for selecting district coordinator and field coordinators (Recruitment to be accepted after work order approval)	Provide CVs of Cluster coordinator and field coordinators with relevant experience as per the RFP Norms to MEPMA for evaluation. Based on MEPMA feedback the Cluster coordinator and field coordinators should be deployed in the cluster allocated to the successful bidder and time allocated for the same is 15 days from the day of signing of MoU.
		21. Indicators for successful & sustainable enterprise development	Parameters to measure Sustainable model will be derived after the selected agency submits their 2 nd Milestone deliverable related to the cluster allocated to them and trades
		22. Suggest Any social category ratio to be maintained while grounding the enterprises in each category	social category ratio will be shared once the cluster is allocated to the successful bidder
		23. Increase the timelines for the first three-month deliverables to 6 months	Kindly refer to Clarification issued to point no. 5
		24. As WEAIM is 1.5 yr old women association, we request you to allow consortiums to meet the average annual turnover criteria of 200 lakhs and also to have extended support.	Consortium is Allowed, and Lead Bidder will be responsible for fulfilling all the conditions in the RFPs
		25. Allow financial partners to manage funds for immediate operations since there is no provision of advance fund release and huge amount of working capital will be required for timely completion of works	No Advance payment will be made and Kindly refer to Clarification issued to point no. 24

S. No.	Name of the Organization	Query raised	MEPMA Responses
		<p>26.Advance for mobilisation could be released for us to have some cushion to manage our financial resources.</p>	No, Mobilization Advance will be provided
		<p>27.Request MEPMA to provide strong support for bank linkages</p>	Yes, MEPMA will support for Bank Linkages
3	MITCON	<p>28.Pl. refer your RfP engagement of agency for establishment of enterprise through skill development for urban poor and their incubation in all ULBs in Telangana state.</p> <p>29.Refer Point 1.9.2,on page No.8 Table II. It mentioned the target for setting up of enterprise with unit cost of Rs.2.00 to 4.00 lakhs Rs. 4.00 to Rs.6.00 lakhs Rs. 6.00 to Rs.10.00 lakhs</p> <p>30.Kindly clarify whether the cost mention above is total project cost which includes land and build cost or excluding land and building cost</p>	The Unit cost for setting up enterprise is excluding land and building cost
		<p>31.Kindly extend the bid submission date as lot of documents need to be collated, also for bidding of 3 clusters, we need to discuss with our bankers' weather they will provide BG for 5 years project, our estimate of bank guarantee is approx. Rs.1 Cr for which banker and our board approval need to be taken</p>	Kindly refer to Clarification issued to point no. 4
		<p>32.Kindly clarify the percentage of SC/ST, Minorities to be targeted in each cluster</p>	Kindly refer to Clarification issued to point no. 22
		<p>33.Kindly clarify is the targeted numbers given for setting up of enterprise for each category is minimum numbers mentioned in the RFP. Weather the agency exceeds the targets in one category and does not achieve the target in another category, but overall total target is achieved or exceed, weather payment is be made for overall</p>	Adhere to the RFP norms

S. No.	Name of the Organization	Query raised	MEPMA Responses
		<p>total target or proportionate to each slab target</p> <p>34. In Page 16, Point 9. Schedule of payment, Sr.No.4: " The amount for handholding support shall be released based on the criteria and indicators developed and assessed by the MEPMA relevant to the business promoted. We request you to kindly give clarity on this i.e. what are the specific criteria and indicators developed and assessed by MEPMA. This is required for our risk assessment in the project RFP.</p> <p>35. In page 22 of RFP, submission of CV: The implementing agency shall submit the CV of core team. The CV of project coordinators and coordinators for each ULBs will be share once the mandate is allocated to us. As we need to recruit resource person only if the mandate is allotted to us. Hence may be considered.</p>	<p>Kindly refer to Clarification issued to point no. 21</p> <p>Kindly refer to Clarification issued to point no. 19 & 20</p>
4	We Hub	<p>36. Request for relaxation of 5.1.2, 5.1.3, 5.1.4 and 5.1.5 in page no. 12 of RFP, due to the fact that WE Hub has been promoted by the Telangana State Government in the year 2018 and do not meet the conditions specified in the above mentioned sections of the RF. WEHUB has proven the credibility in securing the GIZ project for Enterprise Promotion in Telangana state for the period of 2 years with a budget of INR 2.10 crores, the aid from Australian Government amounting to Rs. 30,00,000 Lakh INR over 1 year and Multiple other corporate</p>	<p>All the state government and central government organizations are exempted from submission of Bank Guaranty.</p> <p>All the state government and central government organizations are exempted from clause 5.1.2 to 5.1.5.</p>

S. No.	Name of the Organization	Query raised	MEPMA Responses
		<p>innovation programs notable among them being P &G amounting to Rs. 10,00,000 Lakh INR over 3 months.</p>	
		<p>37.Section 4, Page 11 mentioned only One key resource and cluster & field resources, but the project scope needs minimum resources in Core Team such as the following 1) EDP expert 2) MIS 3) Skill Building 4) Finance expert 5) Enterprise Establishment expert</p>	<p>Kindly refer to Clarification issued to point no. 19 & 20</p>
		<p>38.The indicators for sustainable enterprise after setting up an enterprise to be clearly mentioned as the current scope doesn't mention any details regarding the same.</p>	<p>Kindly refer clarification issued to point no 21</p>
		<p>39.Bid Security @10% is burden as the payments are in line with deliverables to execute the project, it impacts the performance as it has indirect impact on staff salaries and other enterprise establishment charges will be burdening the organization. Requesting for re look into this and consider in waving off the bid security fee. (As WE Hub is a government initiative, our budget will be released from government and we cannot keep Bid security amount)</p>	<p>Kindly refer to Clarification issued to point no. 17& point no 36</p>
5	NIMSME	<p>40.Kindly find that there is a requirement of 10% Security Deposit for the total project Value through BG, whether this is flexible?</p>	<p>Kindly refer to Clarification issued to point no. 17</p>
		<p>41.The last part of the 40% payment is only released after the satisfactory sustainability of</p>	<p>Kindly refer to Clarification issued to point no. 5</p>

S. No.	Name of the Organization	Query raised	MEPMA Responses
		<p>the beneficiaries, kindly explicitly explain that success factor, so that we can understand the parameter and also request you to make it 20% at the end and make it 20% at the beginning.</p>	
6	EY LLP	<p>42.Please clarify, It is mentioned that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Handholding support is required for a period of two years from the date of enterprise set-up 2. Since the project duration is 36 months, we assume that all enterprises we have variable handholding period till the end of project closure <p>43.Request to convert the bid procurement process to Quality and Cost Based Selection (QCBS) method (quality weightage-80%, cost weightage-20%).</p> <p>44.Request if the Coordinators can be hired post project start as it will depend on the cluster for which an agency will be hired.</p> <p>45.Request to reduce the number of enterprises.</p> <p>1 Enterprise will account for a single beneficiary or an Enterprise established by a group of beneficiaries will account to the number of people in that group.</p> <p>Please clarify whether client issued certificates/project closure certificate stating that enterprises have been set up will suffice or individual Self-declaration report by the entrepreneur is also required to be submitted. Also, getting so many individual entrepreneurs to provide self-declaration shall be a tedious task in large numbers and we would</p>	<p>1. Yes, Handholding support will be for two years from the date of enterprise set-up</p> <p>2. Overall Project period is for 5 years</p> <p>Kindly refer to Clarification issued to point no. 1</p> <p>Kindly refer to Clarification issued to point no. 20</p> <p>Kindly refer to Clarification issued to point no. 3</p> <p>Group Entity will also be considered as Single entity</p>

S. No.	Name of the Organization	Query raised	MEPMA Responses
		request that declaration from the Bidding firm can be accepted.	
		46. Please specify the evaluation criteria for evaluation of CVs.	Kindly refer to Clarification issued to point no. 19
		47. Page 31 is not there in the RFP document	Typo error, Approach & Methodology must be shared by agency
		48. Given that most of the payments will be realized in later stages, we would request if 20% mobilization advance can be released against a bank guarantee at the time of project sign-off. Further we suggest keeping the financial milestones in a way having 50% of project cost against activities prior to setup of enterprise and 50% payments linked to enterprise setup and handholding support	Kindly refer to Clarification issued to point no. 26 Kindly refer to Clarification issued to point no. 5
		49. Please clarify, whether successful registration can fulfil the criteria for release of 3 rd Milestone or on the start of the economic activity by the enterprise. Further would a collective/producer company registered count as 1 enterprise or all stakeholders will be counted against the number of enterprises to be setup. In addition, can existing enterprises with poor sustainability and growth be identified and post approval from MEPMA be enabled through training, mentoring and handholding support. If so, how will the 3 rd milestone linked payment be processes since they would already be setup We request if invoice frequency could be reduced to monthly instead of quarterly.	Kindly refer to Clarification issued to point no. 5 This point is beyond the scope of RFP No Invoice Frequency will be reduced

S. No.	Name of the Organization	Query raised	MEPMA Responses
		<p>50. We seek clarity on the indicators which will be assessed to accept successful handholding support. The only independent and verifiable evidence will be the bank data – the most prominent indicator of venture sustainability will be the regular repayment of the bank loans Further, we would request MEPMA to factor a certain failure rate for enterprises post setup since even with the best of support few enterprises may fail to take-off.</p>	<p>Kindly refer to Clarification issued to point no. 5</p> <p>Not Applicable</p>
		<p>51. Kindly Clarify if consortium is allowed in implementation of this project. If “Yes” we request MEPMA to evaluate both the consortium partners on the Technical and Financial aspects. We also request MEPMA to Clarify the following</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In Consortium partnership if Lead bidder is different and the consortium partner is the same for multiple lead bidders due to their prior experience in the field of EDP, will MEPMA allow consortium partner to work with different lead bidders in more than 3 Clusters. 	<p>Every Lead bidder will have individual consortium partners</p> <p>One Agency can not be consortium partner to multiple Lead bidders.</p>
		<p>52. In case of some consortium have common members, pls. specify how will the current capping of awarding 3 clusters at the maximum be applied at the consortium level</p>	<p>Not Applicable</p> <p>Kindly refer to Clarification issued to point no. 51</p>
		<p>53. To any shortlisted agency is there any possibility to award more than 3 clusters</p>	<p>No</p>
		<p>54. Please add following clause to this section: Agency may terminate this Agreement, or any particular Services, immediately upon written notice to MEPMA if Agency reasonably determine that Agency can no longer provide the Services in accordance with applicable law or</p>	<p>Refer to clause 10.7 of the RFP</p>

S. No.	Name of the Organization	Query raised	MEPMA Responses
		professional obligations	
		55. Please clarify the requirement of separate declaration on INR 100 Non-Judicial Stamp paper	Self-declaration should be on a INR 100 Non-Judicial Stamp paper
		56. Please suggest if MEPMA will support in the infrastructure requirements for conducting any activity such as Mobilisation, Training and Handholding etc. Can MEPMA coordinate with district/ ULB offices for providing the infrastructure support	If any Govt building is available, then MEPMA will support.
		57. Please guide if SHGs or Local bodies will support in mobilisation or in identification of beneficiaries. Also, can non-SHG members be mobilized.	MEPMA will support in mobilization. Only SHG members & their family members are to be identified.
		58. The resource requirement highlighted in the RFP may vary basis the enterprises established. We propose that a Central Team must be evaluated as a part of RFP and post allocation Coordinators can be deployed (need basis).	Kindly refer to Clarification issued to point no. 19
		59. The RFP does not have a clause on Limitation of Liability. Request you to please add following: The Client (and any others for whom Services are provided) shall not recover from EY, in contract or tort, under statute or otherwise, any amount with respect to loss of profit, data or goodwill, or any other consequential, incidental, indirect, punitive or special damages in connection with claims arising out of this Agreement or otherwise relating to the Services, whether or not the likelihood of such loss or damage was contemplated. The Client (and any others for whom Services are provided) shall not recover from EY, in contract or tort, including indemnification obligations under	May be Considered

S. No.	Name of the Organization	Query raised	MEPMA Responses
		<p>this contract, under statute or otherwise, aggregate damages more than the fees actually paid for the Services that directly caused the loss in connection with claims arising out of this Agreement or otherwise relating to the Services.</p>	
		<p>60.The RFP doesn't clearly mention about consortium. We request you to allow the Consortium and scoring to be done basis collective experience, resource pool etc.</p>	<p>Kindly refer to Clarification issued to point no. 24</p> <p>Kindly refer to Clarification issued to point no. 1</p>
		<p>61.Request to extend the proposal submission by 3 weeks i.e. 25th Oct 2019 as pre-bid response will be received by 27th Sep 2019. Thereafter, to complete the requirements and submit a comprehensive and quality bid.</p>	<p>Kindly refer to Clarification issued to point no. 4</p>
		<p>62.Request to please add following clauses to the agreement:</p> <p>1. Confidentiality- Request to please add this Clause –</p> <p>"Except as otherwise permitted by this Agreement, neither of the parties may disclose to third parties the contents of this Agreement or any information provided by or on behalf of the other that ought reasonably to be treated as confidential and/or proprietary. Parties may, however, disclose such confidential information to the extent that it: (a) is or becomes public other than through a breach of this Agreement, (b) is subsequently received by the receiving party from a third party who, to the receiving party's knowledge, owes no obligation of confidentiality to the disclosing party with respect to that information, (c) was known to the receiving party at the time of disclosure or is thereafter created independently,</p>	<p>May be Considered</p>

S. No.	Name of the Organization	Query raised	MEPMA Responses
		<p>(d) is disclosed as necessary to enforce the receiving party's rights under this Agreement, or (e) must be disclosed under applicable law, legal process or professional regulations. These obligations shall be valid for a period of 3 years from the date of termination of this Agreement."</p> <p>2. IPR-Request to please add:</p> <p>EY may use data, software, designs, utilities, tools, models, systems and other methodologies and know-how ("Materials") that EY own in performing the Services. Notwithstanding the delivery of any Reports, EY retain all intellectual property rights in the Materials (including any improvements or knowledge developed while performing the Services), and in any working papers that EY compile and retain in connection with the Services (but not Client Information reflected in them). Upon payment for the Services, Client may use any Materials included in the Reports, as well as the Reports themselves as permitted by this Agreement.</p>	
7	FICCI	<p>63. Is formation of Consortium is allowed: As FICCI operates through its wide network of training partners, MSME Associations etc. we would like request to allow us to bid along with our channel partners</p> <p>64. Whether Certificates from the client are also acceptable instead of work orders.</p>	<p>Kindly refer to Clarification issued to point no. 24</p> <p>Client Certificates are not accepted as proof. Workorders, MoU with Clients and work completion certificates from clients will be accepted.</p>
8	TERNA	<p>65. As per RFP mention that there is need to appointment of field coordinator as per ULB wise but</p>	<p>Yes, one field coordinator for one circle</p>

S. No.	Name of the Organization	Query raised	MEPMA Responses
		in Hyderabad there is no ULB and having circle so it is require to appointment of one person in one circle, please guide us.	to be appointed.
9	PSGR	66. As getting all the documents and details required are quite challenging and time consuming we request you to extend the time for submission by two more weeks.	Kindly refer to Clarification issued to point no. 4
10	Despande Foundation	67. Allow the consortium to have a strong team for successful implementation	Kindly refer to Clarification issued to point no. 24
		68. Allow financial partners to manage funds since there is no provision of advance fund release and huge amount of working capital is required for timely completion of work	No Advance payment will be made and Kindly refer to Clarification issued to point no. 24
		69. Advance for mobilization could be released for us to have some cushion to manage our resources.	Kindly refer to Clarification issued to point no. 26
		70. can we submit the list of 600 successfully established enterprises in the excel sheet rather than an individual form as mentioned in format- 6, point 2.3?	Kindly refer to Clarification issued to point no. 3
		71. Is there any dedicated format to evaluate the sustained enterprises?	Kindly refer to Clarification issued to point no. 21
		72. What are the criteria by which MEPMA defines enterprises as Sustained?	Kindly refer to Clarification issued to point no. 21
		73. What is the minimum time limit be given for staff recruitment to the successful bidder?	Kindly refer to Clarification issued to point no. 19 & 20
		74. What are the criteria and indicators decided by MEPMA with relevant to handholding?	Kindly refer to Clarification issued to point no. 5
		75. Clarity on bid security @ 10 % of actuals after final bid?	Kindly refer to Clarification issued to point no. 17
		76. What do MEPMA mean by sustainability time?	Kindly refer to Clarification issued to point no. 21
		77. clarity on financials per Unit to be released by MEPMA	Adhere to the RFP norms

S. No.	Name of the Organization	Query raised	MEPMA Responses
		78. Can survey time be increased from 1 month to more than 3 months?	Kindly refer to Clarification issued to point no. 5

Note:The bidders shall submit INR 5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand only) (non-refundable) in the form of Demand Draft in favor of "Mission Director, MEPMA" issued by any Nationalized/ Scheduled Banks in India and payable at Hyderabad towards the cost of RFP document (the "Bid Processing fees").

Kindly do submit the same along with Proposal on 30th October 2019. In case Any Bidder fails to submit the same, their proposal will not be considered for evaluation.

Signature valid

Digitally signed by P
ANURADHA
Date: 2019.10.09 21:58:17 IST
Reason: Approved

Format 9–Self Declaration by Entrepreneur running the business with relevant proofs

Provide the list of the enterprises established with the below mentioned details for each unit or group setup in the following format along with evidences:

S.No	Particulars	Details (Individual & Group units)
1	Name of the entrepreneur	
2	Business Activity	
3	Date of commencement	
4	Type of business (manufacturing/service/trading etc)	
5	Turnover of business for past 2 years	
6	Trade License No.: Firm Reg. No: GST Reg. No.: (Provide details whichever is available)	
7	Business address	
8	Contact number of entrepreneur	

Signature:

Name of the Authorized Signatory:

Designation